UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,374	08/31/2006	Mark Franklin Davis	DOL11505 US	8002
88862 Dolby Laborato	7590 03/09/201 ories Inc.	EXAMINER		
999 Brannan St	reet	BORSETTI, GREG		
San Francisco, CA 94103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/591,374	DAVIS, MARK FRANKLIN
	Art Unit
RICHEMOND DORVIL	2626
*	-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	iew filed 1/221/2011.			
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper an reason(s):</li> </ol>	d a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other:	view is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 63-74. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	im(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.				
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been h action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap				
All participants:				
(1) <u>RICHEMOND DORVIL</u> .	(3) Michael Opsasnick.			
(2) <u>Gregg Borsetti</u> .	(4)			
/Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626				